

From: [REDACTED]
To: [SizewellC](#)
Subject: Sizewell C Project Preliminary Meeting
Date: 07 April 2021 15:25:21

From: Clive Lovelock (interested party registration i.d. 20025570)

I write in respect of your Preliminary Meeting. Whilst I made an oral submission at the meeting on the 24th March I also wish to make a written submission as the oral meeting was less than satisfactory in its format.

My detailed comments are:

In respect of agenda item 5 (applicants proposed changes to the application), I wish to submit that the applicants change to the rail transport arrangements, represent a **material change** to their previous application. Their previous application envisaged three trains/day maximum with all train movements at night or using the one spare daytime path between passenger trains in the morning, such that there was **no impact** on the current passenger train service on the East Suffolk Line (ESL) .

The applicant's proposed changes now envisage a two year period when up to 5 trains/day will operate. This cannot be accommodated within the current ESL passenger train timetable and will require the **withdrawal/re-timing of passenger train** services leading to the loss of the regular hourly service. It should be borne in mind that this service was achieved by infrastructure works, financed in part by local authorities to improve the passenger train services in Suffolk and has led to increased ridership on the line. Any reduction in this service will be to the disadvantage of local residents and will lead to increased road traffic, particularly on the A12 which parallels the ESL for much of its route.

In respect of agenda item 6 (dates

From: [REDACTED]
To: [SizewellC](#)
Subject: Continuation of my e-mail of today
Date: 07 April 2021 16:05:20

From: Clive Lovelock (interested party registration i.d. 20025570)

This forms the second part of my submission today:

In respect of agenda item 6 (dates and format for hearings/ arrangements for site inspections) I wish to make two submissions:

1. The electronic format of the meeting is not satisfactory, I had to take part in the preliminary meeting using a Samsung tablet with a screen only 6" wide, and as you are aware even yourselves, with the benefit of professional IT backup, had periods of frozen screens and appalling sound quality. Suffolk is a very rural county with areas of very poor broadband services and it is clearly unfair to those who might wish to make submissions to insist on virtual meetings.

I would like to make some form of oral contribution to the item specific examination of the applicants transport proposals, specifically their rail transport proposals which are little more than a wish list which I intend to expose if given the opportunity. To do this I would like to refer to track/gradient diagrams and train timetable graphs. None of these items lend themselves to a virtual presentation as they are large drawings and I dont have the necessary IT skills to make such a presentation. However I can make a very understandable presentation/take questions if I could lay out the drawings before the examining committee. I don't think I am unique in this situation, many of the site drawings presented by the applicant are illegible when reduced to A4 size which most of us are limited to by our printers/scanners,

2. In respect of site meetings, there must be another site meeting in relation to the northern park and ride site at Darsham. It is essential that this takes place on a summer Saturday in the school holidays so that the examining committee can see the volume of traffic over the A12 level crossing at Darsham station. It should be carried out at the applicant's proposed shift change times so that some assessment can be made of the risks of road traffic "blocking back" over the railway crossing. This is to ensure that the appropriate level of level crossing protection arrangements are determined as the present Automatic Half Barrier crossing may not be adequate.

Clive Lovelock